Experimental Reports: CTR Transverse Profile Measurements

Prach Boonpornprasert PITZ Physics Seminar 08.04.2021





Outline

- Overview of the Experiments
- Realignment of the THz Measurement Station
- Experimental Results
 - Pulse Energy Measurements (beam transport preaparation)
 - Transverse Profile Measurements
 - Polarization Measurements
- Checking for Near-Filed and Far-Field Regions
- Roughly Checking with THzTransport Simulation
- Issues with Booster Phase
- Summary & Outlook

Overview of the Experiments

- Time in total → 6 shifts (20210321N, 20210322M, 20210322A, 20210322N (0.25), 20210323A(0.25), 20210323N, 20210324A (0.5), 20210325N)
- Machine & beam parameters
 - MBI laser, BSA = 2.0 mm, temporal short Gaussian (~ 2.5 ps) → long Gaussian (~7 ps)
 - Maximum beam momenta: 6.6 MeV/c and 21.8 MeV/c
 - Φ_{gun} is fixed at MMMG phase, $\Phi_{booster}$ is scanned for velocity bunching
 - Bunch charge of 250 pC and 1 nC
- 1. Pulse energy measurements (beam transport preparation)
 - CTR, CDR (hole), CDR (gap)
 - 250 pC, 1 nC
 - Φ_{booster} SP = MMMG, MMMG+30°, MMMG+60°, MMMG+80°
- 2. Transverse profile measurements
 - CTR, CDR (hole), CDR (gap)
 - 1 nC
 - Φ_{booster} SP = ~MMMG+77° (full compression phase)
- 3. Polarization measurements
 - CTR, CDR (hole)
 - 1 nC
 - Φ_{booster} SP = ~MMMG+77° (full compression phase)



Realignment of the THz Measurement Station

- Unsuccessful measurements with the 4-parabolic-mirrors layout, no THz beam found on Pyrocam
- Realigned to be the 2-parabolic-mirrors layout, found THz beams and finished the program

Parabolic Mirror (PM) Remote control rotational motorized stage T. Caller Location for THz polarizer THz pyroelectric detector (will be replaced by a THz camera) Voltage Preamplifier

The 4-parabolic-mirrors layout

The 2-parabolic-mirrors layout





Realignment of the THz Measurement Station

New method for the alignment: Imaging method

- Previously, alignments were done by inverse-transport a laser beam from the detector position to the radiator
- Imaging method → Project the image of the radiator to the image plane of the THz camera





Experimental Results

CTR pulse energy measurements (beam transport preparation)

- The 4-parabolic-mirrors layout was used
- At -80°, P.E. with 250 pC is higher than P.E. with 1 nC
 Bad alignment & transport, overcompression
- Much lower than measurements in 2017



CTR pulse energy measurements in 2017 (the copper cone collector was used)





Experimental Results

Transverse Profile Measurements

• The 2-parabolic-mirrors layout was used

First measured THz CTR image (with its signature) at PITZ

A measured CTR transverse profile from Chiang Mai University, Thailand

https://accelconf.web.cern.ch/FEL2012/papers/wepd52.pdf

A measured "DR" transverse profile from TELBE, HZDR, Germany https://www.nature.com/articles/srep22256

A measured CTR transverse profile (comb beam) from SPARC_LAB, INFN, Italy https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/6/2/56/htm

Experimental Results

Polarization Measurements

CTR polarization measurement using a THz linear HDPE polarizer

Example:

Experimental transmission when the input beam is radially polarized and the beam is analyzed with a linear or a radial analyzer.

Reference: I. Moreno Optical Engineering, 51(12), 128003 (2012)

Checking for Near-Filed and Far-Field Regions

Reference: G. Kube, TESLA-FEL 2008-01

• Near-field (Fresnel, pre-wave) region condition:

 $a \leq \lambda \gamma^2$

- where: *a* is the observation distance λ is the radiation wavelength γ is the Lorentz factor
- Far-field (Fraunhofer, wave) region condition : $a \gg \lambda \gamma^2$
- PITZ case: 7 MeV/c, f < 0.5 THz ← Near-field region
- 1st parabolic mirror position is in the near-field region → near-field radiation profiles are also projected to the THz camera
- Impossible to purely measure far-field radiation profiles, unless changing position of 1st parabolic mirror
 → re-design the whole THz station

Roughly Checking with THzTransport Simulation

THzTransport Mathematica Application [B. Schmidt, DESY Hamburg, Version 21.2.2017]

- Position and angle errors were manually tuned
- The two-lobes signature is possible to appear in simulated profiles
- Detailed simulations can be performed (tilting, position errors, vacuum window, etc.)

Issues with Booster Phase

RF1 Feedback

• FB worked normally

- The RF feedback failed when changing the booster phase setpoint with too big step or too fast
- Way to solve:
 switch off FB → change phase → switch on FB

Issues with Booster Phase

CTR intensity oscillation

Measured CTR voltage vs booster phase 20.12.2018 22:38

CTR@THz camera from the videoclient

Energy measurement using a pyroelectric detector P2P = 1.213e-01 +/- 1.731e-02 V (error = 14.27%)

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 # Measurements Data saved to /doocs/measure/THz/2021/PulseEnergy/2021/20210322M/THzPE_1422.mat

4

Could be the cause of this behavior

Summary and Outlook

Summary

- Transverse profiles and polarizations of CTR generated from a compressed 1 nC beam were measured
- CTR intensity is very sensitive to the booster phase around the full compression
- Less mirrors less complications
- Alignment with the imaging method worked well

Outlook

- Repeat measurements with the THz camera in the next run (3 shifts)
 - Optimize CTR images with better experiences (1)
 - Investigate CTR intensity vs booster phase around the full-compression phase (1)
 - Michelson interferometer measurements for the full-compression beam (1)
- Detailed follow-up CTR simulations

Near-Filed and Far-Field Regions

