Deconvolution by regularization: possible applications at PITZ

Fredholm Integral Equation of the First Kind

M. Krasilnikov PPS#777, 10.12.2020







Integral Equations

Integral Equations of the First and Second Kind

 $y(x) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} K(x,s) y(s) ds = f(x), x \in Q$ Integral Equations of the Second Kind \rightarrow in principle, well-posed problem

 $\int_{\Omega} K(x,s) y(s) ds = f(x), x \in Q$ Integral Equations of the First Kind \rightarrow ill-posed problem! (may not have a perfect solution)

Particular case: deconvolution



$$F(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$$
$$K(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$$





Possible applications at PITZ

Eliminating "apparatus" function from the raw experimental data

- Charge measurements, especially with rather high noise level (small signal) → deconvolution of the raw signal and noise histograms → more precise information on "pure" signal fluctuations
- Slit-scan techniques → deconvolution of the slit width from the beamlet profile → "real" divergence local distribution (especially for zoom lens option and tails of the PS)
- TDS measurements (PST and HEDA2)→ deconvolution of the unstreaked beam profile for more precise temporal shape reconstruction
- HEDA1 measurements → deconvolution of the vertical beam profile at HIGH1.Scr5 for more precise PZprojections
- 5. Image processing \rightarrow pixel size elimination from the small beam (sharp profiles) \rightarrow filtering
- 6. LPS tomography?

7. ...



Problem formation → Tikhonov regularization method

$$A y \equiv \int_{a}^{b} K(x,s) y(s) ds = f(x), a \le x \le b$$

$$K(x,s) \longrightarrow G = \{a \le x \le b, \ a \le s \le b\}, \ f(x) \in L_2[a,b], \ y(s) \in L_2[a,b]. \qquad \|f\|_{L_2}^2 = \int |f(x)|^2 dx$$

Regularization methods (Tikhonov) \rightarrow smoothing functional for α –regularization:

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(y) = \left\| Ay - f \right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} + \alpha \left\| y \right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \to \min_{\substack{y \in L_{2}[a,b]}} \quad \alpha > 0 \text{ -regularization parameter}$$

$$\square \land y_{\alpha}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} B(t,s) \ y_{\alpha}(s) \ ds = F(t), \quad a \le t \le b \square \land \text{Second Kind} \rightarrow \text{ well-posed}$$

$$B(t,s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) \ K(x,s) \ dx \qquad F(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) f(x) dx$$

Regularization (Tikhonov) method

How to determine the regularization parameter α ?

$$\int_{W}^{M_{z}} \alpha y_{\alpha}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} B(t,s) y_{\alpha}(s) ds = F(t), \quad a \le t \le b$$

$$f(x) \text{ is replaced by } \tilde{f}(x): \qquad \left\| f - \tilde{f} \right\|_{L_{2}} \le \delta,$$

$$\text{choice of } \alpha: \qquad \left\| A y_{\alpha} - \tilde{f} \right\|_{L_{2}} = \delta \quad \text{, where } y_{\alpha} \text{ - solution of } \int_{W}^{M_{z}} \int_{W}^{M_{z}} E \text{ quation w.r.t. } \alpha \text{ yielding a unique solution}$$

$$F(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) f(x) dx$$
$$B(t,s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) K(x,s) dx$$

Practical case: $\alpha_i = \theta \alpha_{i-1}, i = 1, ..., m, 0 < \theta < 1 \rightarrow \text{ for each } \alpha_i \text{ solution } y_\alpha(t) \text{ of } \Sigma_{W}$

choice of the optimum $\alpha_{opt} \in \{\alpha_i\}$: $\left\|A y_{\alpha_i} - \widetilde{f}\right\|_{L_2} \approx \delta$

Quasi-optimum choice of the regularization parameter: $\|y_{\alpha_i} - y_{\alpha_{i-1}}\|_{L_2} \rightarrow \min \text{ or } \|y_{\alpha_i} - y_{\alpha_{i-1}}\|/\|y_{\alpha_i}\| \rightarrow \min$



Meshing

Homogeneous meshes for the problem discretization

$$A \ y \equiv \int_{a}^{b} K(x,s) \ y(s) \ ds = f(x), \ a \le x \le b$$

Mesh for $y(s)$ and $K(x,s)$
 $\overline{\omega_{\tau}} = \{s_j \in [a,b]: \ s_j = a + j\tau, \ j = \overline{0,N}; \ \tau = (b-a)/N\}$
Mesh for $f(x)$ and $K(x,s)$
 $\overline{\omega_h} = \{x_l \in [a,b]: \ x_l = a + lh, \ 1 = \overline{0,M}; \ h = (b-a)/M\}$

$$\alpha y_{\alpha}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} B(t,s) y_{\alpha}(s) ds = F(t), \quad a \le t \le b \qquad F(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) f(x) dx \qquad B(t,s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) K(x,s) dx$$

t-mesh = s-mesh



Discretization

Using Simpson's rule and assuming parity of N and M

Simpson's rule $\rightarrow A_j^{(N)}$ and $A_l^{(M)} \rightarrow O(h^4 + \tau^4)$

$$y_i \approx y_\alpha(t_i), \quad B_{ij} \approx B(t_i, s_j), \quad F_i \approx F(t_i), \quad i, j = \overline{0, N}$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} P(x)dx \approx \sum_{l=0}^{N} A_{l}^{(N)}P_{l}$$
$$A_{l}^{(N)} = \frac{\Delta x}{3} \begin{cases} 1 & l = 0, N \\ 2 & l - \text{even} \\ 4 & l - \text{odd} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha y_{\alpha}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} B(t,s) y_{\alpha}(s) ds = F(t), \quad a \le t \le b$$

$$F(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) f(x) dx$$

$$B(t,s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) K(x,s) dx$$

$$\alpha y_{i} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} A_{j}^{(N)} B_{ij} y_{j} = F_{i}, \quad i = \overline{0, N}$$

$$F(t_{i}) = \sum_{l=0}^{M} A_{l}^{(M)} K(x_{l}, t_{i}) f(x_{l}) + O(h^{4}), \quad i, j = \overline{0, N}$$

$$B(t_{i}, s_{j}) = \sum_{l=0}^{M} A_{l}^{(M)} K(x_{l}, t_{i}) K(x_{l}, s_{j}) + O(h^{4})$$



Discretization

Using Simpson's rule and assuming parity of N and M

$$\alpha y_{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{N} A_{j}^{(N)} B_{ij} y_{j} = F_{i}, \quad i = \overline{0, N}$$

$$y_{0}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{N}$$

$$F(t_{i}) = \sum_{l=0}^{M} A_{l}^{(M)} K(x_{l}, t_{i}) f(x_{l}) + O(h^{4}), \quad i, j = \overline{0, N}$$

$$\widetilde{y}_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} A_{j}^{(N)} B(t, s_{j}) y_{j} + F(t) \right), \quad a \le t \le b$$

$$B(t_{i}, s_{j}) = \sum_{l=0}^{M} A_{l}^{(M)} K(x_{l}, t_{i}) K(x_{l}, s_{j}) + O(h^{4})$$





Beamlet Modeling

Deconvolution of the finite slit opening

- 50um slit opening
- f160 \rightarrow scale~45um \rightarrow X
- f250 \rightarrow scale~12um \rightarrow 4-5 pixel window?
- Rigorously: deconvoluting with trapezium





Beamlet Modeling

Deconvolution of the finite slit opening











DESY. M. Krasilnikov | Deconvolution by regularization: possible applications at PITZ

Modeled beamlet profile reconstruction additive white Gaussian random noise







Modeled beamlet profile reconstruction

0.04

0.03

0.02

0.01

-0.01

Ω

-0.6

rec., *a*=0.00488

rec., a=0.00122

-0.4

Option: 2nd derivative





-0.2

x (mm)

0

0.2

0.6

0.4





Modeled beamlet profile reconstruction additive white Gaussian random noise













Modeled beamlet profile reconstruction











Discussion

Deconvolution of the finite slit opening by regularization

- It might be relevant especially for high
 - camera zoom (pixel size at BL collector screen << slit opening)
 - small beam / beamlets or beams with structure comparable with ppixel size
- Regularization parameter choice and optimum solution:
 - very case sensitive
 - very dependent on noise level \rightarrow accurate filtering is important
 - Oscillatory solutions for principally positive function? → another approach → exponential (Gaussian) fit



Charge measurements

From "pure" signal-noise to raw signal-noise

Raw signal, known

(measured)



Charge measurement

Typical measurements at PITZ + linear interpolation applied for more detailed histograms



Charge measurements

"Pure" charge histogram reconstruction





Charge histogram reconstruction

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

-0.02

-0.04

-80

-60

-40

-20

0

 $Q - \langle Q \rangle (pC)$

20

40

counts (arb.units)

 $y(\alpha_i, Q)$, incl. 2nd derivative

+derivative

- raw

rec., α=10

rec., *α*=2.5

rec., *α*=1.25

rec., *α*=0.625

rec., *α*=0.313

rec., *α*=0.156

rec., *a*=0.0781

rec., *α*=0.0391

rec., *α*=0.0195

rec., *α*=0.00977

60

80

rec., α =5

Using regularization

 $\begin{aligned} &\alpha_i = \theta \alpha_{i-1}, i = 1, \dots m \\ &\alpha_0 = 10 \\ &\theta = 0.5 \end{aligned}$



 $\alpha y_{\alpha}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} B(t,s) y_{\alpha}(s) ds = F(t), \quad a \le t \le b$ $B(t,s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) K(x,s) dx \qquad F(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) f(x) dx$ $\Phi_{\alpha}(y) = \left\| Ay - f \right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} + \alpha \left\| y \right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \rightarrow \min_{y \in L_{2}[a,b]}$ Option: 2nd derivative



 $\left\|y_{\alpha_{i}}-y_{\alpha_{i-1}}\right\|\left\|y_{\alpha_{i}}\right\|$



Charge histogram reconstruction

Using regularization

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \theta \alpha_{i-1}, i = 1, \dots m \\ \alpha_0 &= 10 \\ \theta &= 0.5 \end{aligned}$





$\alpha y_{\alpha}(t) + \int_{a}^{b} B(t,s) y_{\alpha}(s) ds = F(t), \quad a \le t \le b$ $B(t,s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) K(x,s) dx \qquad F(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) f(x) dx$ $\Phi_{\alpha}(y) = \left\| Ay - f \right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} + \alpha \left\| y \right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \rightarrow \min_{y \in L_{2}[a,b]} \frac{1}{2}$ Option: 2nd derivative



 $\left\|y_{\alpha_{i}}-y_{\alpha_{i-1}}\right\|\left\|y_{\alpha_{i}}\right\|$



Charge histogram reconstruction

Using regularization







reconstruction
$$\Box$$
 $\sigma_s = 22 \text{pC}$

Deconvolution by Fourier Transformation

Optimized Wiener filter concept



Charge measurements: Deconv by Fourier Transformation





Charge measurements: Deconv by Fourier Transformation

Fourier transformations















α=10; p=1





Charge measurements: Deconv by Fourier Transformation

Fourier transformations



















Multi-gaussian fit ~> Gaussian Wavelets

Approximation of positive (smooth enough) functions \rightarrow e.g. Raw (charge+noise) histogram



Reconstruction by multi-Gaussian optimization

Multidimensional optimization to fit background histogram convolution to the measured raw histogram

$$\hat{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{10} & c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{20} & c_{21} & c_{22} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ c_{N_g0} & c_{N_g1} & c_{N_g2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad S(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_g} e^{c_{n0} + c_{n1}t + c_{n2}t^2} \rightarrow \text{positive functions only}$$

$$\Phi(\hat{C}) = \int_{q_{min}}^{q_{max}} dq \left[Raw(q) - \int_{q_{min}}^{q_{max}} \Delta Bkg(q-t) S(t) dt \right]^2 \qquad \qquad \dot{S}(t) = \min \Phi(\hat{C}) \qquad \rightarrow \text{optimization in } 3*N_g \text{ space}$$

Normalized variables used in the optimization (*fminsearch*): $v_{nm} = \frac{c_{nm} - c_{nm}^0}{dc_m} \cdot 0.00025$

Reconstruction by multi-Gaussian optimization

Multidimensional optimization to fit backgroung histogram convolution to the measured raw histogram



Page 30

Discussion

Charge measurements

- Fourier \rightarrow oscillating solutions (<0) \rightarrow needs thorough filter tuning
- Regularization needs tuning as well
- Multi-Gaussian (Gaussian wavelets) might be more straightforward
- Asymmetric rms errors are possible, also with statistical fraction
- Experimental data:
 - More statistics is desirable
 - Noise has to be reduced, periodical fluctuations have impact (spikes and bumps in histograms)



Page 3



Deconvolution for TDS measurements

Idea (*S*-coordinate within bunch, ~time)





X

Outlook

Overview of numerical methods for the 1st kind Fredholm integral equation

- Regularization method:
 - Tikhonov regularization
 - Projective iterative (collocation) and pure iterative (Friedmann)
 - Constructing regularizers for more homogeneous convergence
- Wavelet method
 - Gaussian wavelet, CAS (cosine and sine) wavelet, Legendre wavelet, Chebyshev wavelet, Coifnan wavelet, Haar wavelet, ...
 - Especially for 2D case...(?LPS Tomo)
- Multilevel iteration method
 - Multiscale fast algorithm for the 2nd kind Fredholm equations (by applying Tikhonov regularization algorithm) combined with Galerkin method
- Smooth factor solution method
 - Based on adding some "regularity" or "smoothness" constraints, and by adjusting the corresponding parameters to find the stable solution of the equation
- Other methods
 - discrete kernel method, optimal homotopy asymptotic method, algebraic method, Lagrange polynomial interpolation method, trust region algorithm, slow solution, collocation method, sinc collocation method, etc.



Conclusions

Deconvolution by regularization: possible applications at PITZ

- Deconvolution could/should be used to reconstruct accurate data from the experimental raw measurements
- It could be applied to several basic measurements at PITZ
 - Charge
 - Beamlets
 - TDS
 - ...
- Many methods could be used \rightarrow rather case dependent (?)



Page 34

Histograms from periodic signals

To the charge histograms





