# Beam dynamics simulations for The SMI experiment at PITZ

- Motivation
- Experimental conditions
- First weird results



Osip Lishilin

PITZ physics seminar Zeuthen, 2016-12-01



# **Simulated Self-modulation Experiment**

Not fully optimized





### **Experimental Results: 4) Longitudinal Phase space**







Q=0.97 nC Plasma density:  $\approx 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>

#### **Experimental conditions**

- "Flattop" laser profile, ~22 ps
- > BSA=1.26 mm, XYrms=0.298 mm,
- > Gun@MMMG, 6.5 MeV/c



> Booster@MMMG, 22.7 MeV/c





Measure\Plasma\ \20161009M\VC2\1413



#### **Initial distribution for ASTRA**

#### > Gregor's script for laser pulse shaping=>MK\_CH4.m





# **Initial distributions for ASTRA**

23 ASTRA la Vista 2.0 - \\afs\ifh.de\group\pitz\data\lishilin\sim1\astra\20161130\_3\_q\_scan\_nonmodified\beam.ini Generator output file ÷ ÷ > One ideal flattop 100 100 save to file copy to clipboard 1.2 FWHM: 27.947 ps One arbitrary modulated 1.0 flattop 0.8 charge density (a.u.) 0.6 0.4 ASTRA la Vista 2.0 - \\afs\ifh.de\group\pitz\data\lishilin\sim1\astra\0000 Scripts GL\AstraInputBunchCreator\m Generator output file 0.2-C spatial C temporal ÷ ÷ 100 100 save to file copy to c 1.2 FWHM: 27.439 ps 0.0 19 2 7 13 24 30 1.0 relative time in ps 0.8 charge density (a.u.) Scan emission time in ASTRA 0.6 (Trms) in order to scale beam length 0.4 0.2-0.0 13 19 24 30 2 with simulations for PWFA@PITZ | 2016-12-01 | Page 6 relative time in ps

#### Charge extraction, flattop

Q\_extracted vs Q\_input for different Trms (ps), flattop



#### Charge extraction, modulated flattop

Q\_extracted vs Q\_input for different Trms(ps), modulated flattop



# LPS, X-projection and current profile at z=4.61 m

Flattop, Q\_input=3.5 nC



#### Modulated flattop, Q\_input=3.9 nC





#### Transport to plasma cell entrance with SC code





Imain=382 A High.Q1: 3.8311 T/m High.Q2: -4.6985 T/m High.Q3: 1.1620 T/m High.Q4: 1.4157 T/m



# LPS, X-projection and current profile at z=6.21 m

> Flattop, Q\_input=3.5 nC



#### Modulated flattop, Q\_input=3.9 nC





#### **Issues/discussion**

- Not possible to reproduce the experimental LT-curve
- Due to strong space charge, beam expands enormously (~2 times longer than experimental values)
- Not really a flattop

- Checked if Core\_and\_halo plays a role no big difference
- Check ASTRA built-in plateau distribution not yet done
- Increase BSA/decrease charge in order to sit on the linear part of LT slope(?)
- Find an initial distribution shape in order to optimize emission?



#### Initial distribution without Core and Halo, z=0.2m

> 0.3 nC



> 3.9 nC







Osip Lishilin | First troubles with simulations for PWFA@PITZ | 2016-12-01 | Page 14





#### Electron beam length vs Q\_extracted at z=0.2 m for different Trms(ps), modulated flattop

Osip Lishilin | First troubles with simulations for PWFA@PITZ | 2016-12-01 | Page 15