


# Injector Commissioning

Matthias Scholz  
for the commissioning team





Injector laser

Diagnostic section


TDS

Laser heater

AH1

A1

Electron source



Emittance measurements and optimizations (projected and slice)

Long bunch train operation  
Emittance measurements along bunch trains (projected and slice)

Tomographic reconstruction of horizontal phase space

← Beam direction



# Comparison of TDR and achieved parameters

Quantity	TDR	Achieved
Macro pulse repetition rate	10 Hz	10 Hz
RF pulse length (flat top)	650 us	670 us
Bunch repetition frequency within pulse	4.5 MHz	4.5 MHz
Bunch charge	20 pC - 1 nC	20 pC – 1 nC
Slice emittance (about 50 MV/m gradient, 500 pC)	0.6 mm mrad	0.6 mm mrad*
Achieved proj. emittance for 500 pC bunches and ~53 MV/m gun gradient		1.2 mm mrad

- TDR parameters could be reached


\*This value was measured using the four-screen-method. The best results achieved, 0.4 mm mrad for the same bunch charge and gun gradient, was measured with a multi knop quadrupole scan (to be presented later).

# XFEL injector cooldown



Injector cooldown December 11-15

# Electron beam in the injector dump



- December 18, A1 was operational, electron beam with 130 MeV transported to the dump.



XFEL INJECTOR TRANSMISSION

Charge data from Charge ML

Select Beamline Selected: SA1

Kicker

TDS

Corrector CIY.51.11

Deflection angle: 0.29 mrad

Nominal momentum: 130.00 MeV/c

Current RBV: -0.177 A [-2.5 A ... 2.5 A]

Status: Magnet needs cycling.

OTRD.64.11D

Exposure - Value: 10000

Gain - Value: 500

Screen Controls

1: e-Beam 2: - 3: Calibration

move IN 1 move OUT Position: 1440000

move IN 3

LED on

XFEL LLRF Station: MAIN.M12.A1.11

Voltage: 168.00 kV

Phase: 16.00 deg

Amplitude: 180

Phase: 180

BPMA.57.11 SA1


x = -1.130 y = 3.992 Q = 0.559 nC

z = 0.00 m SA2 x = 0.000 y = 0.000 Q = 0.000 nC




BPMA.57.11/X.TD: Buf=934590238

BPMA.57.11/Y.TD: Buf=934590238


Device OK



Initial difficulties with the alignment of the injector laser could be fixed mid February 2016.




Far field camera





Near field camera






- It is possible to stack the injector laser pulse.
  - The longitudinal laser profile is changed from Gaussian to flat top.
  - Reduced space charge effects should lead to smaller projected emittances.



Longitudinal profile of the electron bunch while the stacker was in use




More information about longitudinal profile measurements of electron bunches will follow.

Streak camera scan of the laser pulse with and without stacker.


Only three out of four stages of the laser stacker could be used during the first deployment. This leads to a visible modulation of the electron bunch.

# Maximum pulse length and maximum gradient




The gun pulse length reached 650 us March 13

Maximum gradient reached first time July 18








## Restarting the electron gun by hand



9

- Restarting the gun by hand takes typically 1 hour.
- Typically the gun gradient is increased with a small RF pulse length (~20 us).
- Then the pulse length is increased to the required length (650 us).
- It is important to watch the gun temperature in order to stay on resonance. This keeps the reflected power low.





## Problem description for an RF-gun restart after break-down

- RF power is missing (>50 kW average power)
  - Power induced heating is missing
- RF gun gets colder and “shrinks”
  - Frequency mismatch (detuning) during start-up (result: high reflected power)
- Overcome detuning effect by adjusting the drive frequency
  - Phase slope in baseband (  $d\omega = d\phi/dt$  )
- Goal: Keep the reflected power as small as possible during start-up
  - Adjust RF gun temperature or drive signal by proper phase slope

> M. Grecki (DESY-MSK)

- Investigations since ~2012 (even earlier)
- Successful tests performed at PITZ

LLRF workshop 2015

### Rapid recovery after RF break down of high average power RF Gun

Mariusz Grecki (DESY)

**Abstract**  
The new procedure for Gun start up after trip was proposed, implemented and tested. It uses modulated RF frequency to follow cavity resonance frequency temperature rise resulting from increased power dissipation at RF ramp up. It controls the RF frequency, power and parameters of the cooling system. The resonance conditions are determined through the shape of reflected power amplitude.

**Gun operation**  
The source of the electron beam at FLASH (and also currently build XFEL) is normal conducting RF Gun consisting of a 1.5-cell copper cavity operated at 1.3GHz. The resonance frequency of the cavity is precisely tuned by the temperature with sensitivity ~21kHz/deg.C. Due to high power dissipation (~tens of kW) the cavity is equipped with high performance water cooling. The temperature control is optimized for steady-state conditions (typical mode of operation of the Gun).


**New procedure of trip recovery**  
The main “time consumer” in classical approach of trip recovery is temperature stabilization. First the water in the cooling system has to be warmed up to nominal temperature (at which the resonant frequency of the Gun is 1.3GHz) and then it must be cooled down together with increasing dissipated power while keeping the iris temperature at nominal level. One can avoid lengthy process of water warming and cooling if the temperature at the cooling system is kept constant at the level corresponding to nominal operating conditions.

**Water circulation and temperature distribution along the loop**

**FSM for Gun trip recovery**

**Examples (experiments performed at PITZ)**


Full pulse length in 1 minute



## > Methods to minimize the detuning

### 1. Keep the amplitude of the reflected signal minimal


→ Solve an optimization problem



### 2. Get detuning from virtual probe and reflected signal

- Already done in pulse width modulation concept
- Use this for direct detuning compensation


See panel Pulse Width Modulation



## > O. Hensler (DESY-MCS)

### ■ FSM Implementation with direct detuning computation


1. Increase Amplitude with fixed pulse length
2. Increase pulse length



1.


# Comparison between theoretical and measured trajectory responses

- The beam is deflected by with a steerer and the trajectory response is measured on the following BPMs.
- The trajectory response tool does the measurements for all steerers (quads, RF amplitudes, ...) automatically.
- The magnets model used for the calculations of the theoretical response matrices leads to the same results as the measurement.
- This results lead to the conclusion that also the transfer matrices, which are used e.g. for emittance measurements, are also correct.




Trajectory Response 0.95beta

# XFEL injector emittance measurements, the four screen method



Cons: One measurement takes several minutes to move the screen in and out...




Best results from projected emittance measurements for different bunch charges. These numbers were measured with a gun gradient of 53 MV/m.

Charge	Horizontal	Vertical
50 pC	0.56 $\mu\text{m rad}$	0.64 $\mu\text{m rad}$
100 pC	0.77 $\mu\text{m rad}$	0.83 $\mu\text{m rad}$
500 pC	1.28 $\mu\text{m rad}$	1.23 $\mu\text{m rad}$
1000 pC	2.95 $\mu\text{m rad}$	2.81 $\mu\text{m rad}$


Most of the time was spend to optimize emittances of the 500 pC case. Thus it is possible that the other results can be improved further in the future.

# XFEL injector emittance measurements with quadrupole scans




- There are two possibilities for quad scans with one quadrupole in the injector.
  - Applying a special beam optics that is suitable to scan both planes with one quad and one screen at the same time.
  - Using two different quads and screens for horizontal and vertical plane with the default injector beam optics.

Horizontal plane: QI.57.I1 -> OTRC.59.I1




Vertical plane: QI.55.I1 -> OTRC.58.I1



The quad scan tool is available since Feb. 2016.


Comparing results achieved with a quad scan and a four screen method. The bunch charge was 500 pC.

	Horizontal	Vertical
Quad scan	2.30 $\mu\text{m rad}$	1.41 $\mu\text{m rad}$
4 screens	2.51 $\mu\text{m rad}$	1.63 $\mu\text{m rad}$





## Four screen method with off-axis screens




- The XFEL can deliver up to 2700 bunches with a bunch to bunch repetition rate of 4.5 MHz .
- Fast kickers allow to kick single bunches out of the trains to the screens while those are in off-set position.
- That allows us to measure the emittances and beam optics parameters on-line while all other bunches are delivered to the undulators.
- In addition, it is not necessary to move the screens in and out. Thus, these measurements take only ~20 seconds.
- There are Matlab tools available, which were used frequently by the operators during the last injector run.

## Different distribution patterns


Option 10 Hz:





Option 5 Hz:




Option 2.5 Hz\*:




First time beam on all four off-axis screens: March 3, 2016






- Multi bunch operation (> 30 bunches) started March 16, 2016



March 19, 2700 bunches, 4.5 MHz repetition rate, 150-300 pC bunch charge





March 16, 200 bunches, 1.125 MHz repetition rate, 500 pC bunch charge







# Long bunch train operation and photo cathode QE




January February March April May June July August

## Comparison of the first and last QE map




- Extracted charge at different positions of the gun cathode taken February 22 and July 18. The impact of the injector laser on the cathode is visible.
- This is known from FLASH. There the QE of a new cathode drops by ~20% at the used position but stays constant after that.



# Emittance measurements along bunch trains



- Each of the bunches within a bunch train can be kicked to the off-axis screens.
- This allows us to study the beam emittances and matching parameters along the bunch train and to match any of these bunches.
- First emittances measurement along the bunch train: April 12.




An example for projected emittance measurements along the bunch train. Both emittances as well as the mismatch are almost constant over the train.






## Emittance measurements along bunch trains


OTRC.55.I1






OTRC.56.I1



OTRC.58.I1




OTRC.59.I1




- Evolution of the projected emittance, the mismatch and the beam shape over the bunch train. The bunch charge was 500 pC.
- This measurement was taken while the injector laser stacker was in use. The unstable emittances and mismatches are eventually due to alignment issues with the stacker.



# Possible effects from emittance variations along the bunch train





- SASE panel from FLASH.
- Machine operation with 240 bunches, 670 MeV,  $\sim 13.9$  nm photon wavelength.
- The SASE pulse energy is much lower for the first bunches. There are also strong fluctuations visible.




Transversely Deflecting Structure (TDS) + screen



TDS + dipole (dispersive section) + screen





# New beam optics for slice emittance measurements






- Different beam optics in the diagnostic section are required for projected emittances and slice emittance.

Projected emittance measurement




Slice emittance measurement






- TDS operation started May 24.

Linearization of the longitudinal phase space using the third harmonic acceleration module AH1. Pictures were taken in the dump beamline (dispersion).




The first pictures of streaked bunches in the XFEL injector using the two zero crossings. The pictures were taken in the diagnostic section.




With the TDS available we were able to measure electron bunch lengths as well as slice emittances.

- There is a tool available for investigations of the longitudinal bunch profile.
- It takes several camera pictures of a streaked bunch with slightly different TDS phases. That allows to recalculate the calibration curve for each measurement.


Calibration curve




Profiles of the single measurements



Combined profiles




Final evaluation





## Slice emittance measurements with four screens




The smallest slice emittances achieved so far using the four screen method (and 500 pC bunches) were:

- 0.6  $\mu\text{m rad}$  with 53 MV/m gun gradient
- 0.5  $\mu\text{m rad}$  with 60 MV/m gun gradient


Slice emittances can be measured and evaluated within 20 seconds using fast kickers and off-axis screens.

We are able to match single slices of the bunch. One matching iteration takes about 2 minutes including the magnet cycling.




# Slice emittance measurements with 60 MV/m gun gradient

The smallest slice emittance of about 0.4 mm mrad for a 400 pC bunch was achieved with 60 MV/m gun gradient on July 25 (The night shift before the shutdown).



# Slice emittance studies with different gun phases and solenoid currents



29

- It is possible to run the injector with different gun phases and solenoid currents while the beam is always matched perfectly.
- The following pictures show two different working points and their impact on the slice emittances respectively on the shape of the bunches.

- We are looking forward to investigate these different setups in the diagnostics section downstream BC2 (fully compressed bunches).
- And, of course, the impact on the SASE power level will be interesting.

Gun phase 43 degree, solenoid current 321 A.  
This setup is close to what we worked out during the optimization of the projected emittances.

Gun phase 35 degree, solenoid current 314 A.  
This setup is closer to the gun phase and solenoid current typically used at FLASH.


# Slice emittance measurements along bunch trains

30


- With the TDS, the fast kickers and the off-axis screens, it is also possible to measure slice emittances along the bunch trains.
- As expected, the core slice emittances are smaller and even more stable along the train compared to the projected emittances.

Slice emittances along the bunch train were measured for the first time July 9

Horizontal slice emittances along the bunch train



Horizontal mismatch amplitude along the bunch train




## Multi knob quadrupole scan data

Scans with 5 quadrupole magnets were developed to measure slice emittances with only one screen.

## K-values of 5 quadrupole magnets

## Quad strength during scan




## 18 steps of the quad scan

- The vertical phase advance between the TDS and screen is constant.
- The phase advance in horizontal plane changes in 18 steps of 10 degree.


Both beta-functions are almost constant for all measurement steps.

- A small beta function in the horizontal plane improves the measurement resolution
- A larger beta function in vertical plane lead to a more effective streak.

## Phase advance in both planes



## Beta functions at the screen



# Emittance calculations and tomography using multi knob quadrupole scan data


## Results of the quadrupole scan with 5 magnets





Quad strengths during the scan

- Quad scan using a 500 pC bunch and a gun gradient of 53 MV/m.
- The calculated core emittance is around  $0.4 \mu\text{m rad}$  and thus smaller than the core emittances measured with the four screen method.
- The main difference between the two measurements is that the quad scan does not require the fast kickers. This will be investigated further.

normalised horizontal phase space




Normalized horizontal phase space




Camera picture of a streaked bunch. The green lines show the single slices.

- Reconstructed phase space and normalized slice emittance

Tomographic reconstruction slide No. 2





horizontal phase space







■ Reconstructed phase space and normalized slice emittance





First proper measurement showing the growing beam size in the dispersive section due to laser heating (increasing energy spread). June 21



- First operation of the laser heater in the XFEL injector in June 21.
- The horizontal beam size was measured in the dispersive section (dump beamline) while scanning the arrival time of the laser respectively the laser heater undulator gap.
- An increase of the horizontal beam width could be measured for the expected undulator gap.
- The laser amplifier was not yet installed during these tests. Thus, we expect a stronger effect during following measurements.

Thank you for your attention!

All of those shown successes and measurements could not have been achieved without the work of many colleagues. Thanks a lot to all members of the commissioning and of the project team!