#### Space-charge matching of the FODO lattice using the smooth-approximation theory

PPS 30/01/2014 G. Kourkafas

## Introduction

- Transverse tomography measurements require equal phase-advance steps between the PST screens
- The required optics for these desired dynamics can be calculated by MAD neglecting space charge!
- The <u>smooth-approximation theory</u> correlates the beam dynamics without and with (linear) space charge in a <u>uniform focusing channel</u> (FODO)

## Smooth approximation theory

- ...there will be a special solution [of the beam envelope equation] where R(z) = a = const, R'(z) = 0
  ... and hence the beam envelope is a straight line.
  This special case is known as the matched beam...
  [Reiser M., Theory and Design of Charged Particle Beams]
- Associates the external focusing forces with the total defocusing forces including space charge → no-space-charge transport to linear-space-charge transport
- Assumptions:
  - Round beam,  $\sigma_0 < 90^\circ$ , K-V distribution, ...

# Smooth approximation theory [matched beam in a uniform focusing lattice]

- $\sigma = \frac{s}{\overline{\beta}}$ •  $\sigma = \sigma_0 (\sqrt{1 + u^2} - u)$
- $u = \frac{KS}{2\sigma_0 \varepsilon}$
- $\sigma_0 = \frac{S}{\overline{\beta_0}}$
- $a_{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\beta_{(0)}}{dz}$
- $\sigma$  : phase advance

- S : length of FODO cell
- $\beta/\alpha$ : Twiss beta/alpha
- Value with index "<sub>0</sub>": value without the effect of space charge
- K : generalized perveance
- ε : 4 rms g. emittance

#### How-To

- 1. For a certain beam momentum and emittance  $\sigma_0^{FODO}$  and  $\beta_0^{FODO}$  are calculated
- 2. These two values are used by MAD for the matching of the FODO lattice
- 3. A uniform ASTRA input beam is generated with the **corresponding** space charge (non  ${}^{"}_{0}$ ) values
- The generated input beam is tracked with ASTRA
  3D-space-charge along the FODO lattice using the quadrupole strengths calculated by MAD

#### Case of 1.080mm\*mrad (1nC,24.7MeV)

#### Phase advance error - X plane

No space charge matching

Phase advance error - Y plane

5 0 0 -5 -10 -10 -15 -15 degrees -20 -20 -25 -25 -30 -30 -35 -35 -40 -40 2nd Screen 1st Screen 2nd Screen 1st Screen 3rd Screen 3rd Screen

No space charge matching

#### Case of 1.080mm\*mrad (1nC,24.7MeV)

Phase advance error - X plane

■ No space charge matching ▲ Space charge matching



Phase advance error - Y plane



#### Investigation on quad fringe fields ~1 year ago...



#### Case of 3.321mm\*mrad (1nC,24.7MeV)

#### Phase advance error - X plane

Phase advance error - Y plane

No space charge matching No space charge matching 2 2 0 0 -2 -2 -4 -4 -6 -6 -8 -8 degrees degrees -10 -10 -12 -12 -14 -14 -16 -16 -18 -18 -20 -20 2nd Screen 1st Screen 2nd Screen 1st Screen 3rd Screen 3rd Screen

#### Case of 3.321mm\*mrad (1nC,24.7MeV)

Phase advance error - X plane

No space charge matching Space charge matching

Phase advance error - Y plane

No space charge matching Space charge matching



## Outcome

- The smooth-approximation theory can be combined with MAD to get a space-charge compensated matching of the FODO lattice
- As expected, the correction is bigger for beams with stronger space charge
- The remaining (small) matching error seems to be an effect of the quad representation:
  - small MAD mismatches due to the exact effective length of the different magnets (deviation from 43.0mm)
  - fringe fields
- Small differences between different beam distributions are expected according to past studies