


Optimization of the longitudinal phase space distribution of a 20 pC e-bunch at the RF-gun exit for quasi single spike operation at the European XFEL

B. Marchetti, M. Krasilnikov, F. Stephan, DESY, Zeuthen, Germany
I. Zagorodnov, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

DPG Dresden

European XFEL layout

- Photocathode RF gun, 1.6 cell L-band normal conducting having 60 MV/m peak E_{field} at the Cs_2Te cathode
- TESLA accelerating cavity, 1.3 GHz
- TESLA 3.9 GHz cavity



XFEL working points:

- I. I. Zagorodnov, M. Dohlus, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 14, 014403 (2011).
- II. I. Zagorodnov, Beam Dynamics Simulations for XFEL (Jan. 2011), <http://www.desy.de/fel-beam/s2e/index.html>

Laser longitudinal profile: flat-top 20 ps long → e-bunch emittance has been optimized



Short radiation pulses operation

$$L_b \leq 2\pi L_c \rightarrow \text{single spike regime}$$

$L_b = \text{bunch length}$
 $L_c = \text{cooperation length}$

In order to fulfill this requirement or get as close as possible to it:

- > The charge of the e-bunch must be small (20 pC or less)
- > It is necessary to work at the maximum compression point (or very close to it)



Short pulses operation and choice of the laser parameters

- When working with **low charges** (e.g. 20 pC) and at **maximum compression**, we may decide to optimize the e-bunch production and compression w.r.t. **RF-stability** and **shortest achievable bunch length**.
- The use of **short bunches at the gun exit** (by using a shorter laser pulse length) allows a better stability for the e-bunch compression.
- The **correction of the non-linearity** in the longitudinal phase space is a critical point: in order to achieve the shortest bunch length at maximum compression the **non-linearity present in the longitudinal phase space of the e-bunch at the gun exit must be precisely known**.


Energy of the particle at position s

Energy deviation at position s

Energy of the reference particle





$$\delta(s) \equiv \frac{E_0(s) - E_0^0}{E_0^0} \approx \delta'(0)s + \frac{\delta''(0)}{2}s^2 + \frac{\delta'''(0)}{6}s^3$$

Non-linear terms



Why the longitudinal phase space distribution at the gun exit must be precisely known.

- The setup of the main linac has been fixed
- The aim is to eliminate the second and third order non-linear terms in the longitudinal phase space distribution having the maximum compression at the linac exit.



Simulations



- > The study has been restricted to 2 longitudinal laser shapes:
 - 2 ps FWHM gaussian
 - 5.4 ps FWHM flat-top having 2ps rise/fall time
- > The setup of the main linac is fixed.
- > The injector setup is different for each input distribution.
- > I have used a fast, partially 3D, transport (see the list of codes below).

Used codes:

- **ASTRA** (tracking with 3d space charge, DESY, K. Flötman) in the **injector**;
- **CSRtrack** (tracking through dipoles, DESY, M. Dohlus, T. Limberg) in the **LH, DL and BCs**
- **Linear transport matrices** multiplication in the **linac sections**;
- **RF-wakefields and longitudinal space charge along the linac sections** have been added analytically (I. Zagorodnov, M. Dohlus, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 14, 014403 (2011)).



Flat top laser pulse 2/5.4\2 ps, transverse rms 0.11 mm






Track through the undulator


Input beam for Genesis code:

Beam at the linac exit


$E = 14$ GeV
 $\Delta E/E = 2.53 \times 10^{-4}$
 $\epsilon_x = 0.16$ mm*mrad
 $\epsilon_y = 1.11$ mm*mrad
FWHM = 0.74 fs (0.22 μ m)



Radiation production ($\lambda=0.26$ nm)




Longitudinal coordinate along the e-bunch




Coordinate along the undulator [m]

$z = 24$ m


FWHM $\sim 0.08 \mu\text{m} = 0.27$ fs!



Single spike




Radiation production




E-bunch current profile [A]

Longitudinal coordinate along the e-bunch



Average Power of the emitted radiation [W]

Coordinate along the undulator [m]




$z = 37$ m

FWHM $\sim 0.12 \mu\text{m} = 0.4\text{fs}$

Power of the emitted radiation [W]

Two spikes





Power spectrum [au]

Wavelength [nm]




Studies using the gaussian longitudinal laser profile having 2.1 ps FWHM length




Beam parameters at the exit of the linac:

Laser rms spotsizes (mm)	ϵ_x (mm*mrad)	ϵ_y (mm*mrad)	Energy spread (relative)	FWHM (μm)	FWHM (fs)
0.064	0.224	0.964	$2.67 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.28	0.934
0.07	0.21	0.92	$2.33 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.341	1.14
0.1	0.19	0.804	$1.44 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.432	1.44


Radiation production for the 0.064 mm rms spotsizes




Longitudinal coordinate along the e-bunch



$z = 42$ m



Two spikes



Known limits of the presented simulations

- > The wakefields and the SC in the undulator are not included. Due to the high peak current the impact of the wakefields is expected to be non-negligible.
- > The transport line between the exit of the main linac and the entrance of the first undulator has not been taken into account.
- > The impact of the RF jitter on the bunch length has not been quantitatively investigated (even though we expect to have a fluctuation of about 20% of the peak current with a jitter of the phase of ACC1 of 0.001 deg).
- > The track along the linac was done only for the longitudinal phase space. A precise study requires instead the use of Astra or Elegant.



Conclusion & outlook

- A laser configuration delivering a single spike radiation pulse at 0.26 nm wavelength has been discussed using fast S2E simulations.
- This configuration use a short flat-top at the cathode in order to relax RF tolerances, despite the increase in emittance.
- In order to tune the machine settings the knowledge of the longitudinal phase space of the e-bunch at the gun exit is crucial.
- Experimental measurements to characterize the e-bunch properties at the exit of the gun are feasible at Pitz.

Thank you for the attention !

I would like to thank J. Roensch-Schulenburg, M. Rehders and in general the group of "Ultra-short pulses at FLASH" for profitable discussions.

