##  ellipsoidal laser shape at 1nC

Emittance optimization at 1nC charge for different beam energies by varying the shape of the 3D ellipsoid
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Summary

Martin Khojoyan
PITZ Physics Seminar
07.02. 2013

## Reminder

$>$ Minimizing the space charge influence on beam emittance by shaping the photocathode laser to 3D ellipsoid
$>$ Transverse emittance close to the cathode emittance
$>$ Better longitudinal compression
$>$ Reduced beam halo and less sensitivity to machine settings


$$
\begin{aligned}
& r 1 \rightarrow, r 2 \rightarrow, r 3 \rightarrow \quad, \quad \frac{x^{2}}{L_{x}^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{L_{y}^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{L_{z}^{2}} \leq \\
& T_{r m s}=\Sigma_{z} / \sqrt{5} \rightarrow \text { Rms emission time calculation }
\end{aligned}
$$



Optimization was done for the fixed final beam energy of $24 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ !

Simulated emittance at 5.74 m after cathode as a function of "initial" bunch length.

## Emittance optimization: Description

## Fixed parameters during emittance optimization

$>$ Bunch charge (1nC),
$>$ Electrons thermal kinetic energy at the cathode $(0.55 \mathrm{eV})$,
$>$ Gun gradient $(60.58 \mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m})$, corresponding to $\mathrm{Pz} \sim 6.7 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ after gun, at on-crest phase
$>$ Gun and CDS booster phases were fixed to MMG phase
$>$ Reference point was EMSY1 (5.74m downstream the cathode).

## Variable parameters during optimization

$>$ Dimensions of the 3D ellipsoid (Trms (r1), XYrms (r2,r3) $\rightarrow$ projection onto $z$ axis):
$>$ Trms $\rightarrow[5.3,5.8,6.3,6.7,7.1,7.6,8] \mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{XYrms} \rightarrow[0.36,0.37,0.38,0.39,0.4,0.41$, $0.42,0.43,0.44,0.45,0.46] \mathrm{mm}$
$>$ Peak field of the main solenoid, Solenoid calibration: $B(T)=B 1 * \mid(A)+B 2$, where $B 1=0.00058838, B 2=0.000004084$
$>$ Peak field in the CDS booster (final beam energy) $\rightarrow$ [0,3,6,10:2:24] MV/m
> Optimization with 500kp

## Emittance optimization for different beam energies

PTTE


Fig.1. Optimized emittance as a function of the final beam momentum.

Flat emittance behavior after beam momentum of $15 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ !

| E_boo, <br> $\mathbf{M V} / \mathbf{m}$ | Pz final, <br> $\mathbf{M e V} / \mathbf{c}$ | Trms, <br> ps | $\mathbf{X Y} \mathbf{r m s}$, <br> $\mathbf{m m}$ | Imain, <br> $\mathbf{A}$ | Emittance, <br> mm mrad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 6.7 | 5.3665 | 0.43 | 386 | 0.697 |
| 3 | 9.32 | 8.0498 | 0.36 | 386 | 0.541 |
| 6 | 11.94 | 6.2609 | 0.42 | 390 | 0.447 |
| 10 | 15.44 | 6.2609 | 0.41 | 389 | 0.426 |
| 12 | 17.18 | 7.1554 | 0.38 | 389 | 0.425 |
| 14 | 18.93 | 7.6026 | 0.37 | 389 | 0.41 |
| 16 | 20.68 | 6.7082 | 0.4 | 390 | 0.425 |
| 18 | 22.42 | 7.1554 | 0.39 | 390 | 0.421 |
| 20 | 24.17 | 7.6026 | 0.38 | 390 | 0.42 |
| 22 | 25.91 | 8.0498 | 0.37 | 390 | 0.421 |
| 24 | 27.66 | 8.0498 | 0.36 | 390 | 0.427 |

Fig.2. An example of emittance vs. emission time.


## Beam tolerances for the best point (Trms=6.708 ps)



Fig.3. Tolerance studies for the optimized parameters yielding minimum transverse emittance.

## Best option for min emittance at Trms=6.708 ps

|  | parameter | unit | value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laser | profile | 3D ellips |
|  | Trms | ps | 6.708 |
|  | XYrms | mm | 0.4 |
|  | Ek | eV | 0.55 |
|  | th. emit | mm mrad | 0.339 |
|  | Ecath | MV/m | 60.58 |
|  | phase | deg | -2.0 |
|  | maxBz | T | 0.2295 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | maxE | MV/m | 15 |
|  | phase | deg | 0 |
|  | charge | nC | 1 |
|  | momentum | $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ | 19.8 |
|  | proj. emit | mm mrad | 0.4 |
|  | th./proj. em. | \% | 85 |
|  | Av. slice emit. 100 slices | mm mrad | 0.345 |



Fig.4. Beam emittance, transverse and longitudinal rms sizes along the beamline for the optimum point.

Booster phase was fixed to on-crest during the studies.

## Border sharpness modeling in ASTRA (by M. Krasilnikov)

## 1. Sharpness of 3D ellipsoid edges

- border sharpness parameter

$$
\sqrt{(-) \quad(-)}
$$

Modification of the initially homogeneous laser intensity distribution:


Fig.5. Intensity modification with the border sharpness.

## Laser imperfection studies on beam emittance



Same solenoid current values (1A difference) for minimum emittance at different border widths.

## Slice emittances for different border widths



Fig.9. Slice emittances (for minimum projected emittances) at different border widths.

Smaller energy spread with bigger delta?

Fig.8. Longitudinal phase spaces for different deltas.

## Beam overviews for different border widths



Fig.10. Beam overviews for different border thicknesses of laser shape.
$>$ Minimum emittance value for 1 nC bunch charge with 3D ellipsoidal shape, at fixed gun gradient of $60.58 \mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}(6.7 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ after gun) was found for:

- rms laser spot size on the cathode (projection onto $z$ axis): 0.4 mm
- Booster accelerating gradient: 15MV/m (19.8 MeV/c after booster)
- Main solenoid current: 390 A
- Gun launching phase w.r.t. MMMG phase: -2 deg
$>\varepsilon^{\text {projected }}{ }_{x y}=0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ mrad
$\rangle\left\langle\varepsilon^{\text {slice }}{ }_{x y}\right\rangle=0.345 \mathrm{~mm}$ mrad
$>\varepsilon^{\text {thermal }}{ }_{x y}=0.34 \mathrm{~mm}$ mrad
$>\varepsilon^{\text {thermal }}{ }_{x y} / \varepsilon^{\text {projected }}{ }_{x y}=0.85$
> Implement a new formula with separation of bunch in time and space into ASTRA (M. Krasilnikov)
> Repeat the studies
Thank you for attention !!

