


Measurements and modeling of space charge assisted photoemission at PITZ

M.Krasilnikov for the PITZ team

Experimental optimization of the PITZ photo injector for a nC bunch charge level resulted in machine parameters corresponding to a space charge assisted photo emission from the Cs₂Te cathode. Several additional dedicated emission studies have been performed in order to study the charge production as a function of photo injector parameters like rf peak power in the gun, laser spot size at the cathode and laser pulse energy. Results of these studies will be discussed.

Photo Injector Test facility at DESY, Zeuthen site



The Photo Injector Test facility at DESY in Zeuthen (PITZ) focuses on the development, test and optimization of high brightness electron sources for superconducting linac driven FELs:


⇒ test-bed for FEL injectors: FLASH, the European XFEL

⇒ **small ϵ_{tr}**

⇒ **stable** production of short bunches with small σ_E

⇒ further studies → e.g. cathodes: dark current, photoemission, QE, thermal emittance, ...


+ detailed comparison with simulations = benchmarking for the PI physics



PITZ RF gun and photo cathode laser



Photo cathode
(Cs₂Te)
QE~0.5-5%




Cathode laser
257nm
~20ps (FWHM)


RFgun:
L-band (1.3 GHz) nc
(copper) **standing wave**
1½-cell cavity

Peak rf power: up to 7MW
Ez@cathode: > 60MV/m




Temporal pulse shaper



Gaussian:



Emission studies: motivation



Cs₂Te:

$$E_G = 3.3 \text{ eV}$$

$$E_A = E_{vac} - E_G = 0.2 \text{ eV}$$

$$E_T = E_G + E_A = 3.5 \text{ eV}$$

$$E_k = 4.05 \text{ eV} - E_T = 0.55 \text{ eV}$$

?Field enhancement?

R. A. Powel et. al.
Photoemission Studies
of Cesium Telluride.
Phys. Rev. B, 8:
3987-3995, 1973.

Emission studies: modeling

D.Dowell, J.Schmerge "Quantum efficiency and thermal emittance of metal photocathodes", PRST-AB 12, 074201 (2009)

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = \frac{\left(\frac{E_{ph}}{\phi_{eff}} \right)}{\left(\frac{E_{ph}}{\phi_0} \right)}, \text{ where the effective work function (Schottky term): } \sqrt{F}$$

The emitted charge:
$$Q = \frac{I}{\omega} \left(\sqrt{F} \right)$$

D.Dowell, PAC 2011 Tutorial → Derivation of Schottky scan function: emitted charge vs. launch phase → 2-parameter fit


$$\left(\sqrt{F} \right)$$

- = laser transmission (%)
- field at the cathode (MV/m)
- fitting parameters

Emission studies: modeling → RF field influence (LT=25%)

$$\left(\sqrt{\quad} \right)$$

- = laser transmission (%)
- field at the cathode (MV/m)
- fitting parameters



= 25% (1nC at MMMG phase for 6MW)

RF power (MW)	Ecath (MV/m)	max <Pz> (MeV/c)
6.02	62.0	6.83
3.54	47.6	5.43

Fitting:
 Phase range: 10→70deg
 =
 $\eta = 1.2148E-5$
 $b = 10.9222$
 $m = 1.8705 (1.8977-2.1081) \rightarrow 2$
 +convolution with laser temporal profile

Measurements:

Laser:

- Temporal → flattop 2/20\2ps
- Transverse → 0.3 mm rms

Main solenoid: 400A

Charge measured by LOW.ICT1 → z=0.9m

Emission studies: modeling \rightarrow RF field

Simultaneous fitting (LT=13% and 25%):

Phase range: 10 \rightarrow 70deg

=


$$\eta = 8.44E-8$$

$$b = 205.9$$


$$m = 1.805$$

($\sqrt{\quad}$)


LT=13%



LT=25%





LT=100%




- Simultaneous fitting \rightarrow assumptions are not correct?
- Almost no RF impact for low SC density
- RF field impact increases with SC density increase

Emission studies: LT scans and ASTRA simulations


Measured cathode laser shapes



Transverse
halo modeling
in ASTRA




Rather small effect!



ASTRA simulations: Schottky effect implementation

ASTRA: charge of a particle at the time of its emission:

$$\sqrt{\quad}$$




$$\left(\quad \sqrt{\quad} \right)$$

ASTRA input:


[\quad] \rightarrow 2-parameter fitting

Schottky constants should be scaled with laser pulse energy

No Schottky effect applied





Schottky parameter fitting






Qbunch= 0.5nC
 L_Schottky= 0.0059983
 S_Schottky= 0.109529

ASTRA simulations: Schottky effect impact




Applied Schottky effect → more smooth charge extraction

Further emission studies: + laser spot size and pulse energy variation



Further emission studies: Ecath-LaserSpotSize=const



Parameters in legend:
($\sqrt{\quad}$) - rms

spot size of the cathode laser

- peak rf power in the gun cavity

LT – laser transmission was always tuned to keep laser pulse energy constant

#	MW	mm	LT, %	$\sqrt{\quad}$
1	6.49	0.302	57.0	0.769
2	5.99	0.312	52.6	0.764
3	5.45	0.327	48.2	0.763
4	5.00	0.341	43.8	0.762
5	4.55	0.361	39.5	0.770
6	3.99	0.382	35.1	0.762
Δ =	48%	-24%		STDEV=0.49%

Simultaneous variation of the rf field and the space charge density at the cathode by keeping the laser pulse energy and constant yields very similar extracted bunch charge for a rather wide range of the launch phase.

Conclusions

- Studies of the space charge assisted photoemission at PITZ:
 - L-band, Cs_2Te , $E_{\text{cath0}} > 60\text{MV/m}$
 - Basic measurement = launch **phase scan** for a bunch charge
 - Experimental optimum (w.r.t. beam emittance) conditions → **space charge assisted emission**
 - Simulated **conditions** ≠ **experimental**
 - **Schottky-like** effect is stronger pronounced for **higher space charge** densities
 - Simple (simultaneous) fitting of the macroscopic **Schottky model** does not work
 - ASTRA **simulations** of the phase scans:
 - Cathode laser **halo** implementation → rather small effect
 - Simultaneous simulations of different machine conditions are hard and still delivering generally **smaller charges** than experimentally obtained
 - Applied **Schottky-like** effect resulted in a more smooth charge extraction
 - Further experimental photoemission studies:
 - ~inv?
 - Several other measurements have been taken (e.g. Gaussian vs. flattop laser pulses) have been done, treatment is ongoing