



## Beam Dynamics and FEL Simulations for FLASH

## Igor Zagorodnov and Martin Dohlus 08.02.2010 Beam Dynamics Meeting, DESY

FLASH I layout is considered. But the results are equally applicable for FLASH II (SASE).

 $\lambda \sim 6.5 \text{ nm}$ 

short radiation wavelength

$$\lambda \sim \frac{1}{\gamma^2}$$
 high electron energy

In accelerator modules ACC1, ACC2,..., ACC6 the energy of the electrons is increased from 5 MeV (gun) to **1000 MeV** (undulator).



In compressors the peak current *I* is increased from 1.5-50 A (gun) to 2500 A (undulator).

short gain length 
$$L_g \sim \frac{\varepsilon^{5/6}}{\sqrt{I_{\star}}} (1 + O(\sigma_E^2))$$
 (for the optimal beta function)  
high peak current



**High harmonic module** in 2010

energy 1 GeV radiation wavelength ~ 6.5 nm



Only **SASE mode** of operation is investigated. **Charge tuning** (20-1000 pC) allows to tune

- the radiation pulse energy (30-1400  $\mu$ J),
- the pulse width FWHM (2-70 fs).



How to provide (1) a well conditioned electron beam and (2) what are the properties of the radiation?

(1) Self consistent beam dynamics simulations.(2) FEL simulations.

## FLASH I 3d simulation method (self-consistent)



**ASTRA** (tracking with space charge, DESY)

**CSRtrack** (tracking through dipoles, DESY)

• **ALICE** (3D FEL code, DESY )

W1 -TESLA cryomodule wake (TESLA Report 2003-19, DESY, 2003)

**W3** - ACC39 wake (TESLA Report 2004-01, DESY, 2004)

TM - transverse matching to the design optics (V2+, V.Balandin &N.Golubeva)

# FLASH I simulation methods (looking for working points)

1d analytical solution without collective effects (8 macroparameters -> 6 RF settings)









# FLASH I before and after upgrade rollover compression vs. linearized compression



## Optics correction



M.Dohlus, T. Limberg, Impact of optics on CSR-related emittance growth in bunch compressor chicanes, PAC 05, 2005



What is the optimal choice?

What is the optimal choice?

$$V_{1} \leq 150 \text{ MV}$$

$$E_{1} = E_{0} + 0.95 eV_{1} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_{3}} \right)^{2} \right) = 5 \text{MeV} + 0.95 \frac{8}{9} 150 \text{MeV} \approx 130 \text{MeV}$$

$$E_{1} = 130 \text{MeV}$$

$$V_{2} \leq 360 \text{ MV}$$

$$E_{2} = E_{1} + eV_{2} \cdot 0.9 \approx 450 \text{ MeV}$$

$$E_{2} = 450 \text{MeV}$$

 $E_{1} = ?$   $E_{2} = ?$   $r_{1} = ?$   $r_{2} = ?$  C = ?  $C_{1} = ?$   $\partial_{s}C^{-1} = ?$   $\partial_{s}^{2}C^{-1} = ?$ 

$$1.4 \le \frac{r_1}{m} \le 1.93$$

- low compression in BC1 and high compression in BC2
- maximal energy chirp transported through BC1 for the same C<sub>1</sub> (it looses the voltage requirements on RF system ACC2/ACC3)

$$r_1 = 1.93 \text{m}$$
$$I_0 = 52 \text{A}$$
$$I_f = 2500 \text{A}$$
$$C = \frac{I_f}{I_0} = 48$$

$$E_1 = 130 \text{MeV}$$
$$E_2 = 450 \text{MeV}$$
$$r_1 = ?$$
$$r_2 = ?$$
$$C = ?$$
$$C_1 = ?$$
$$\partial_s C^{-1} = ?$$
$$\partial_s^2 C^{-1} = ?$$



Working points (8 macroparameters)









$$E_1 = 130 \text{MeV}$$
  
 $E_2 = 450 \text{MeV}$   
 $r_1 = 1.93 \text{m}$   
 $r_2 = 6 \text{m}$   
 $C = 48$   
 $C_1 = 2.84$   
 $\partial_s C^{-1} = ?$   
 $\partial_s^2 C^{-1} = 2000 \text{m}^{-2}$ 



Charge	Energy	Energy	Deflecting	Deflecting	Compression	Total	First	Second
Q,	in BC2	in BC3	radius in BC2	radius in BC3	in BC2	compression	derivative	derivative
nC	Е <sub>1</sub> ,	E <sub>2</sub> ,	r <sub>1</sub> ,	r <sub>2</sub> ,	C <sub>1</sub>	С	<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub> ,	р <sub>2</sub> ,
	[MeV]	[MeV]	[m]	[m]			[m <sup>-1</sup> ]	[m <sup>-2</sup> ]
1				6	2.84	48	1	2e3
0.5	120	450	1.02	6.93	4.63	90	1	3.5e3
0.25	130	430	1.93	7.8	6.57	150	0.7	4e3
0.1				9.3	10.3	240	0	4e3
0.02				15.17	31.8 (12)	1000	-0.5	5e3

 $C_1$ : scaling for different charges

 $x'' + k_x x = \frac{r_e}{ec\gamma^3} \frac{I}{\sigma_x(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)} x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{\max[I_1(Q)]}{\sigma_r^2(Q)} \sim \frac{\max[I_1(Q)]}{\varepsilon(Q)} \sim \frac{\max[I_0(Q)] C_1(Q)}{\sqrt[2]{Q}} \sim const$ 

we have used another scaling

$$\frac{\max[I_0(Q)] C_1(Q)}{\sqrt[4]{Q}} \sim const$$

## Working points (6 equations => 6 RF parameters)



nonlinear operator(defined analytically)

\*M.Dohlus and I.Zagorodnov, A semi analytical modelling of two-stage bunch compression with collective effects, (in preparation)

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{1} \\ \varphi_{1} \\ V_{13} \\ \varphi_{13} \\ V_{2} \\ \varphi_{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{f}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{10} \\ E_{20} \\ C_{1} \\ C \\ p_{1} \\ p_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Analytical solution without self-fields

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}(\mathbf{f}_0)$$

#### Solution with self-fields



## FLASH I simulation methods (looking for working points)



## Working points (6 equations => 6 RF parameters)

8 macroparameters define 6 equations

$$E_{2}(0) = E_{20}, \quad E_{1}(0) = E_{10} \quad \frac{\partial s_{1}}{\partial s}(0) = C_{1}^{-1},$$
  
$$\frac{\partial s_{2}}{\partial s}(0) = C^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} s_{2}}{\partial s^{2}}(0) = p_{1}, \quad \frac{\partial^{3} s_{2}}{\partial s^{3}}(0) = p_{2}.$$
  
A polytical solution without solf

Analytical solution without self-fields + iterative procedure with them

1

 $\partial S_1$ 

RF settings in accelerating modules

Charge, nC	V <sub>1</sub> , [MV]	φ <sub>1</sub> , [deg]	V <sub>39</sub> , [MV]	φ <sub>39</sub> , [deg]	V <sub>2</sub> , [MV]	φ <sub>2</sub> , [deg]
1	144	-4.66	22.6	145	350	23.4
0.5	143.7	4.042	19.65	158.4	351	23.65
0.25	143.36	2.493	20.81	153.9	352.6	23.96
0.1	144.8	-6.31	25.6	137.5	356.5	25.62
0.02	144.9	-3.894	25.58	141.65	339.8	19.385



## Q=1 nC



## Q=0.5 nC



## Q=0.25 nC



## Q=0.1 nC





Current, emittance, energy spread

 $\varepsilon_x^{proj} = 2 \, [\mu m]$  $\varepsilon_y^{proj} = 0.6 \, [\mu m]$ 

## Q=0.02 nC



### Q=0.02 nC



## Q=0.02 nC



### Tolerances (analytically) without self fields (10 % change of compression)

	Q, nC	1	0.5	0.25	0.1	0.02	
ACC1	$ \Delta V /V$	Scaling	0.34	0.013	0.006	0.0014	0.0002
	$ \Delta \phi $ , degree		0.05	0.024	0.013	0.008	0.0016
ACC39	$ \Delta V /V$	~O(C <sup>-1</sup> )	0.05	0.007	0.0035	0.0011	0.0002
	$ \Delta \phi $ , degree	- ( - )	0.13	0.061	0.033	0.019	0.004
ACC2/3	$ \Delta V /V$		0.09	0.023	0.014	0.01	0.0054
	$ \Delta \phi $ , degree	$\sim O(C_2^{-1})$	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.28	0.36

### Tolerances (from tracking) with self fields (10 % change of compression)

Ç	), nC	1	0.5	0.25	0.1	0.02
ACC1	$ \Delta V /V$	0.0041	0.0035	0.0015	0.0004	0.0001
	$ \Delta \phi $ , degree	0.063	0.026	0.015	0.009	0.0019
ACC39	$ \Delta V /V$	0.0037	0.0027	0.0011	0.0004	0.0001
	$ \Delta \phi $ , degree	0.16	0.07	0.04	0.024	0.005
ACC2/3	$ \Delta V /V$	0.24	0.026	0.015	0.01	0.0054
	$ \Delta \phi $ , degree	0.18	0.2	0.2	0.26	0.35

How to provide (1) a well conditioned electron beam and (2) what are the properties of the radiation?

(1) Self consistent beam dynamics simulations.
We are able to provide the well conditioned electron beam for different charges.
But RF tolerances for small charges are tough.
(2) FEL simulations (next slides).

## Slice parameters for SASE simulations

Slice parameters are extracted from S2E simulations for SASE simulations



Charge Q, nC	1	0.25	0.02
Longitudinal electron beam size $\sigma_s$ , $\mu m$	42	13	3.6
Transverse electron beam size $\sigma_{r}$ , $\mu m$	80	68	36

## Radiation energy statistics (200-500 runs)



Charge, nC	1	0.5	0.25	0.1	0.02
Mean radiation energy, µJ	1000-1400	700	500	200	30
Pulse radiation width (FWHM), fs	70	30	17	7	2





## Summary

		with harmonic module						
Bunch charge, nC	1	0.5	0.25	0.1	0.02	0.5-1		
Wavelength, nm		6.5						
Beam energy, MeV			1000	-	-	1000		
Peak current, kA		2.5		2.1	1-1.5	1.3-2.2		
Slice emmitance,mm-mrad	1-1.3	0.7-0.9	0.5-0.7	0.4-0.5	0.3-0.4	1.5-3.5		
Slice energy spread, MeV	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.2	0.25	0.2-0.4	0.25	0.3		
Saturation length, m	13	12	11	10	11	22-32		
Energy in the rad. pulse, µJ	1000- 1400	700	500	200	30	50-150		
Radiation pulse duration FWHM, fs	70	30	17	7	2	15-50		
Averaged peak power, GW		2-4						
Spectrum width, %	0.4-0.6 0.8				8-1	0.4-0.6		
Coherence time, fs	4-5			-	-	-		

\*) E.L.Saldin at al, Expected properties of the radiation from VUV-FEL at DESY, TESLA FEL 2004-06, 2004.

 (1) Self consistent beam dynamics simulations
 We are able to provide the well conditioned electron beam for different charges.
 But RF tolerances for small charges are tough.

(2) FEL simulations

The charge tuning (20-1000 pC) in SASE mode allows to tune

- the radiation pulse energy (30-1400 mJ)
- the pulse width (FWHM 3-70 fs).